# Improving Side-channel Attacks on Lattice-based Cryptography

#### Leon Groot Bruinderink based on joint work with Peter Pessl and Yuval Yarom

June 2nd, 2017

- Important part of secure internet
- Encryption: keep information confidential
- Digital signatures:
  - Authentication (prove authorship of message)
  - Integrity (prove data not changed)
- For example, Bitcoin relies heavily on digital signatures

- Quantum computers pose threat to current cryptography on the internet (DH, RSA, ECC)
- Lattice-based cryptography: promising post-quantum secure alternative.
- Active research on theoretical and practical security.
- Security of implementations largely unexplored.

- Use physical information leakage from implementations
- Timing attacks can be done remotely
- Use that to perform a key-recovery

- Show side-channel attack on lattice-based signature scheme BLISS
- Model side-channel simply as additional knowledge to attacker
- Show the used key-recovery techniques

# BLISS

・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

- Bimodal Lattice Signature Scheme (BLISS)
- Implementations available via NTRU lattices (polynomials in  $R_q = \mathbb{Z}_q[x]/(x^n + 1)$ ,  $n = 2^r$ , prime q).
- For  $f,g \in R_q = \mathbb{Z}_q[x]/(x^n+1)$ :

$$f \cdot g = \mathbf{f} \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{g} \mathbf{F}$$

where  $F, G \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{n \times n}$ , whose columns are rotations of  $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}$ , with possibly opposite sign:

$$\mathbf{F} = \begin{bmatrix} f_0 & -f_{n-1} & \dots & -f_1 \\ f_1 & f_0 & \dots & -f_2 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ f_{n-1} & f_{n-2} & \dots & f_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Secret key  $\mathbf{S} = (f, 2g + 1) \in R_q^2$  with f, g sparse and typically entries in  $\{\pm 1, 0\}$
- Public key  $\mathbf{A} = (a_1, a_2) \in R_q^2$  satisfying:

$$a_1s_1 + a_2s_2 \equiv q \mod 2q$$

- Computed as  $a_q = (2g + 1)/f \mod q$  (restart if f not invertible) and  $\mathbf{A} = (2a_q, q 2)$ .
- Attacker can validate correctness for candidate of key f with the public key and compute 2g + 1.
- $\bullet$  Both  $-{\bf S}$  and  ${\bf S}$  are valid as secret key.

• Simplified version of the BLISS signature algorithm for message  $\mu$ :

- Simplified version of the BLISS signature algorithm for message  $\mu$ :
- **1** Sample  $\mathbf{y} \leftarrow D_{\mathbb{Z}^n,\sigma}$ .

- Simplified version of the BLISS signature algorithm for message  $\mu$ :
- **1** Sample  $\mathbf{y} \leftarrow D_{\mathbb{Z}^n,\sigma}$ .
- **2** Construct vector  $\mathbf{u}$ , using  $\mathbf{y}$  and public key  $\mathbf{A}$ .

- Simplified version of the BLISS signature algorithm for message  $\mu$ :
- **1** Sample  $\mathbf{y} \leftarrow D_{\mathbb{Z}^n,\sigma}$ .
- **2** Construct vector  $\mathbf{u}$ , using  $\mathbf{y}$  and public key  $\mathbf{A}$ .
- **③** Construct challenge  $\mathbf{c} = H(\mathbf{u}, \mu) \in \{0, 1\}^n$  with  $||\mathbf{c}||_1 = \kappa$

- Simplified version of the BLISS signature algorithm for message  $\mu$ :
- **1** Sample  $\mathbf{y} \leftarrow D_{\mathbb{Z}^n,\sigma}$ .
- **2** Construct vector  $\mathbf{u}$ , using  $\mathbf{y}$  and public key  $\mathbf{A}$ .
- **③** Construct challenge  $\mathbf{c} = H(\mathbf{u}, \mu) \in \{0, 1\}^n$  with  $||\mathbf{c}||_1 = \kappa$
- Generate a random bit *b*. Set  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{y} + (-1)^b \mathbf{s}_1 \cdot \mathbf{c}$

- Simplified version of the BLISS signature algorithm for message  $\mu$ :
- **1** Sample  $\mathbf{y} \leftarrow D_{\mathbb{Z}^n,\sigma}$ .
- **2** Construct vector  $\mathbf{u}$ , using  $\mathbf{y}$  and public key  $\mathbf{A}$ .
- **③** Construct challenge  $\mathbf{c} = H(\mathbf{u}, \mu) \in \{0, 1\}^n$  with  $||\mathbf{c}||_1 = \kappa$
- Generate a random bit *b*. Set  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{y} + (-1)^b \mathbf{s}_1 \cdot \mathbf{c}$
- Solution Return signature  $(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{c})$  for  $\mu$ .

• Simplified version of the BLISS signature algorithm for message  $\mu$ :

**1** Sample 
$$\mathbf{y} \leftarrow D_{\mathbb{Z}^n,\sigma}$$
.

- **2** Construct vector  $\mathbf{u}$ , using  $\mathbf{y}$  and public key  $\mathbf{A}$ .
- **③** Construct challenge  $\mathbf{c} = H(\mathbf{u}, \mu) \in \{0, 1\}^n$  with  $||\mathbf{c}||_1 = \kappa$
- Generate a random bit *b*. Set  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{y} + (-1)^b \mathbf{s}_1 \cdot \mathbf{c}$
- Solution Return signature  $(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{c})$  for  $\mu$ .
- $\mathbf{s}_1 \cdot \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{s}_1 \mathrm{C}$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$  for matrix  $\mathrm{C} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^{n \times n}$ .

• Simplified version of the BLISS signature algorithm for message  $\mu$ :

**1** Sample 
$$\mathbf{y} \leftarrow D_{\mathbb{Z}^n,\sigma}$$
.

- **2** Construct vector  $\mathbf{u}$ , using  $\mathbf{y}$  and public key  $\mathbf{A}$ .
- So Construct challenge  $\mathbf{c} = H(\mathbf{u}, \mu) \in \{0, 1\}^n$  with  $||\mathbf{c}||_1 = \kappa$
- **③** Generate a random bit *b*. Set  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{y} + (-1)^b \mathbf{s}_1 \cdot \mathbf{c}$
- Solution Return signature  $(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{c})$  for  $\mu$ .
- $\mathbf{s}_1 \cdot \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{s}_1 C$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$  for matrix  $C \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^{n \times n}$ .
- Equation in signature over Z:

$$\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{y} + (-1)^b \mathbf{s}_1 \mathbf{C}$$

where the unknowns for the attacker are  $\mathbf{y}, b, \mathbf{s}_1$ 

## Discrete Gaussian Distribution



Figure 1: Discrete Gaussian distribution

- Step 1 in signature algorithm:  $\mathbf{y} \leftarrow D_{\mathbb{Z}^m,\sigma}$
- This is required to achieve (provable) security and small signature size.
- Not straightforward to do in practice: high precision required.
- But how do we use additional knowledge of **y** to find **s**?

Image: A image: A

• Signature equation:  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{y} + (-1)^b \mathbf{s} \mathbf{C}$ 

#### Scenario 1:

We can determine  $\mathbf{y}$  completely from a side-channel attack

• Signature equation:  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{y} + (-1)^b \mathbf{s} \mathbf{C}$ 

#### Scenario 1:

We can determine **y** completely from a side-channel attack

- Only need one signature.
- Solve equation  $(-1)^b(\mathbf{z} \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{s}C$  for  $\mathbf{s}$ .
- But unlikely...(?)

• System of n equations over  $\mathbb{Z}$ :



#### Scenario 2:

For some coefficients an attacker can determine  $y_i$ .

• System of n equations over  $\mathbb{Z}$ :



Scenario 2:

For some coefficients an attacker can determine  $y_i$ .

• Zoom in on coordinate-wise equalities:

$$z_i = \mathbf{y}_i + (-1)^b \langle \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{s} \rangle$$

• If we know  $y_i$ , we save  $\zeta_k = \mathbf{c}_i$  in a list with  $y_i$  and  $z_i$ .

• We can acquire enough of these vectors from multiple signatures and form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} (-1)^{b_0}(z_0 - y_0) \\ (-1)^{b_1}(z_1 - y_1) \\ \dots \\ (-1)^{b_{n-1}}(z_{n-1} - y_{n-1}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} - & \zeta_0 & - \\ - & \zeta_1 & - \\ - & \dots & - \\ - & \zeta_{n-1} & - \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} s_0 \\ s_1 \\ \dots \\ s_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

• Unfortunately: all bits  $b_i$  are unknown.

• We can acquire enough of these vectors from multiple signatures and form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} (-1)^{b_0}(z_0 - y_0) \\ (-1)^{b_1}(z_1 - y_1) \\ \dots \\ (-1)^{b_{n-1}}(z_{n-1} - y_{n-1}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} - & \zeta_0 & - \\ - & \zeta_1 & - \\ - & \dots & - \\ - & \zeta_{n-1} & - \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} s_0 \\ s_1 \\ \dots \\ s_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Unfortunately: all bits b<sub>i</sub> are unknown.
- Trick: if we know y<sub>i</sub>, we can be selective and ensure that z<sub>i</sub> = y<sub>i</sub>, before saving ζ<sub>k</sub> = c<sub>i</sub> in our list.
- We can eliminate *b*:

$$(-1)^b(z_i-y_i)=0=\langle \zeta_k,\mathbf{s}\rangle$$

- If we know  $y_i$  and  $z_i = y_i$ : we save  $\zeta_k = \mathbf{c}_i$ .
- Acquire enough of these vectors from multiple signatures and we have equation:

#### $\boldsymbol{s} \boldsymbol{L} = \boldsymbol{0}$

• With very high probability: secret vector **s** is the only vector in the integer (left) kernel of L.

- Signature equation over  $\mathbb{Z}$ :  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{y} + (-1)^b \mathbf{Cs}$ .
- Let us go one step further:

Scenario 3: For some coefficients an attacker knows  $y_i \in \{\gamma, \gamma + 1\}$ and with high probability,  $y_i = \gamma$ 

- Apply same method as previous:
- If we know  $y_i \in \{\gamma, \gamma + 1\}$  and  $z_i = \gamma$ : we save  $\zeta_k = \mathbf{c}_i$ .
- Now sL is not an all-zero vector, but it is small.
- Use LLL-algorithm to compute small vectors, search for **s** in the unitary transformation matrix.
- Verify correctness with public key.

## Results of Attacking BLISS



Figure 2: Success probability of LLL

• Previous attack scenario 2 and 3 were achievable in real-life

## Improving Side-channel Attacks on BLISS

A new variant BLISS-B proposed, accelerating signing time by 2.8.
Recall signature (z, c) with:

$$\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{y} + (-1)^b \mathbf{s}_1 \cdot \mathbf{c}$$

where the unknowns for the attacker are  $\mathbf{y}, b, \mathbf{s}_1$ 

A new variant BLISS-B proposed, accelerating signing time by 2.8.
Recall signature (z, c) with:

$$\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{y} + (-1)^b \mathbf{s}_1 \cdot \mathbf{c}$$

where the unknowns for the attacker are  $\mathbf{y}, b, \mathbf{s}_1$ 

• In BLISS-B, this is transformed to signature (z, c) with:

$$\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{y} + (-1)^b \mathbf{s}_1 \cdot \mathbf{c}^\star$$

where  $\mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{c}^* \mod 2$ .

• Unknowns to attacker now are **y**, *b*, **s**<sub>1</sub> **and** the signs of **c**<sup>\*</sup>.

A new variant BLISS-B proposed, accelerating signing time by 2.8.
Recall signature (z, c) with:

$$\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{y} + (-1)^b \mathbf{s}_1 \cdot \mathbf{c}$$

where the unknowns for the attacker are  $\mathbf{y}, b, \mathbf{s}_1$ 

• In BLISS-B, this is transformed to signature (z, c) with:

$$\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{y} + (-1)^b \mathbf{s}_1 \cdot \mathbf{c}^{\star}$$

where  $\mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{c}^* \mod 2$ .

- Unknowns to attacker now are **y**, *b*, **s**<sub>1</sub> **and** the signs of **c**<sup>\*</sup>.
- The attacker cannot build the matrix/lattice basis in previous attacks!

- Assume (possibly erroneous) information on y<sub>i</sub>
- Coordinate-wise equalities in signature:

$$z_i = \mathbf{y}_i + (-1)^b \langle \mathbf{c}_i^{\star}, \mathbf{s} \rangle$$

- Assume (possibly erroneous) information on y<sub>i</sub>
- Coordinate-wise equalities in signature:

$$z_i = \mathbf{y}_i + (-1)^b \langle \mathbf{c}_i^{\star}, \mathbf{s} \rangle$$

- Step 1: perform previous attack over GF(2)!
- No need of requiring  $z_i = y_i$  (with high probability)
- Instead of LLL, use a LPN-solver
- This part gives the secret  $\tilde{\mathbf{s}} \equiv \mathbf{s} \mod 2$

- Assume (possibly erroneous) information on y<sub>i</sub>
- Coordinate-wise equalities in signature:

$$z_i = \mathbf{y}_i + (-1)^b \langle \mathbf{c}_i^{\star}, \mathbf{s} \rangle$$

- $\bullet\,$  Attacker also knows  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{s}}\equiv\boldsymbol{s}\mbox{ mod }2$  from step 1
- But keys can have  $\mathbf{s}_i = \pm 2$ , which are not detected by step 1.

- Assume (possibly erroneous) information on y<sub>i</sub>
- Coordinate-wise equalities in signature:

$$z_i = \mathbf{y}_i + (-1)^b \langle \mathbf{c}_i^{\star}, \mathbf{s} \rangle$$

- $\bullet\,$  Attacker also knows  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{s}}\equiv\boldsymbol{s}\mbox{ mod }2$  from step 1
- But keys can have  $\mathbf{s}_i = \pm 2$ , which are not detected by step 1.
- Suppose

$$|z_i - \mathbf{y}_i| = |\langle \mathbf{c}_i^{\star}, \mathbf{s} \rangle| > |\langle \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{\tilde{s}} \rangle|$$

- Assume (possibly erroneous) information on y<sub>i</sub>
- Coordinate-wise equalities in signature:

$$z_i = \mathbf{y}_i + (-1)^b \langle \mathbf{c}_i^\star, \mathbf{s} \rangle$$

- $\bullet\,$  Attacker also knows  $\boldsymbol{\tilde{s}}\equiv\boldsymbol{s}\mbox{ mod }2$  from step 1
- But keys can have  $\mathbf{s}_i = \pm 2$ , which are not detected by step 1.
- Suppose

$$|z_i - \mathbf{y}_i| = |\langle \mathbf{c}_i^{\star}, \mathbf{s} \rangle| > |\langle \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{\tilde{s}} \rangle|$$

- There has to be *at least* one factor  $\pm 2$  making up for difference!
- Save c<sub>i</sub> in a list

Suppose

$$|z_i - \mathbf{y}_i| = |\langle \mathbf{c}_i^{\star}, \mathbf{s} \rangle| > |\langle \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{\tilde{s}} \rangle|$$

- Save c<sub>i</sub> in a list
- Acquire many of these events...
- Two ways of extracting all coordinates of  ${f s}$  that are  $\pm 2$ :
  - Integer Programming solver
  - Statistical approach
- This part of the attack gives all magnitudes of the secret:  $|\mathbf{s}|$

- $\bullet$  So far, the attacker knows all magnitudes of the secret:  $|{\boldsymbol{s}}|=|{\boldsymbol{s}}_1|$
- For the final step, we use the public key  $\mathbf{A} = (2a_q, q-2)$  and  $|\mathbf{s}_1|$ .

- So far, the attacker knows all magnitudes of the secret:  $|\mathbf{s}| = |\mathbf{s}_1|$
- For the final step, we use the public key  $\mathbf{A} = (2a_q, q 2)$  and  $|\mathbf{s}_1|$ .
- Recall the key-relation over  $R_q = \mathbb{Z}_q[x]/(x^n+1)$

$$a_q = s_2/s_1 = (2g+1)/f \mod q$$

• Can model this relation as  $A_1s_1 = s_2$  for matrix  $A_1$  (q-ary lattice)

- So far, the attacker knows all magnitudes of the secret:  $|\mathbf{s}| = |\mathbf{s}_1|$
- For the final step, we use the public key  $\mathbf{A} = (2a_q, q 2)$  and  $|\mathbf{s}_1|$ .
- Recall the key-relation over  $R_q = \mathbb{Z}_q[x]/(x^n+1)$

$$a_q = s_2/s_1 = (2g+1)/f \mod q$$

- Can model this relation as  $A_1s_1 = s_2$  for matrix  $A_1$  (q-ary lattice)
- Final step a: remove all columns j of  $\mathbf{A}_1$  where  $|\mathbf{s}_1|_j = 0$

- So far, the attacker knows all magnitudes of the secret:  $|\mathbf{s}| = |\mathbf{s}_1|$
- For the final step, we use the public key  $\mathbf{A} = (2a_q, q 2)$  and  $|\mathbf{s}_1|$ .
- Recall the key-relation over  $R_q = \mathbb{Z}_q[x]/(x^n+1)$

$$a_q = s_2/s_1 = (2g+1)/f \mod q$$

- Can model this relation as  $A_1s_1 = s_2$  for matrix  $A_1$  (q-ary lattice)
- Final step a: remove all columns j of  $\mathbf{A}_1$  where  $|\mathbf{s}_1|_j = 0$
- Final step b: remove some rows of  $A_1$  and try to solve  $A_1^* s_1^* = s_2^*$ using BKZ. This gives  $s_1^*$  which completely recovers  $s_1$

- So far, the attacker knows all magnitudes of the secret:  $|\mathbf{s}| = |\mathbf{s}_1|$
- For the final step, we use the public key  $\mathbf{A} = (2a_q, q 2)$  and  $|\mathbf{s}_1|$ .
- Recall the key-relation over  $R_q = \mathbb{Z}_q[x]/(x^n+1)$

$$a_q = s_2/s_1 = (2g+1)/f \mod q$$

- Can model this relation as  $A_1s_1 = s_2$  for matrix  $A_1$  (q-ary lattice)
- Final step a: remove all columns j of  $\mathbf{A}_1$  where  $|\mathbf{s}_1|_j = 0$
- Final step b: remove some rows of  $A_1$  and try to solve  $A_1^*s_1^* = s_2^*$  using BKZ. This gives  $s_1^*$  which completely recovers  $s_1$
- Final step c: compute  $\mathbf{s}_2$  by  $a_q \cdot s_1$

## Results of the full attack on BLISS-B

- BLISS-B implemented in strongSwan (library for secure VPN)
- Performed full real-life attack using previous steps on strongSwan



# Questions?

• • • • • • • •

æ